

Audio Title: Intellectual_Rape_Commercials_Removed

Audio Duration: 0:29:00 – 01:55:00

Number of Speakers: 2

Human transcription via Fiverr

Transcript

John Wells: Rape, such an ugly word, such an ugly act. But there is a good bit of intellectual rape going on and we're going to dispense with a bunch with tonight as we have a conversation with Dr. Robert Malone, MD and MS, meaning masters of science.

Yeah, for episode 1875, here it comes. I will tell you. Scientifically trained at UC Davis, UC San Diego, the Salk Institute Molecular Biology and Virology laboratories, internationally-recognized scientists in virology, immunology and molecular biology, known as one of the original inventors of mRNA vaccination.

Now it's interesting when I look over here at static1.squarespace.com. At the top it says Robert W. Malone, MD, MS and it's written here Dr. Malone is internationally-recognized as one of the original inventors of DNA vaccination. He holds numerous fundamental, domestic and foreign patents in the fields of gene delivery, delivery formulations and vaccines. Has over 50 publications. He has served as an invited speaker at over 30 conferences, has chaired numerous conferences and has sat on numerous US federal study sections.

I mean good grief. A little bit more. Dr. Malone has extensive research and clinical development experience in the areas of clinical trials, design and implementation, vaccines, gene therapy, bio defense and immunology.

He has over 20 years of management and leadership experience in academia, pharmaceuticals and biotechnology. His NGO, HHS, NIH and DOD contract and grant knowledge is extensive and he has helped many groups and companies to capture and manage multimillion dollar awards with these sponsors including almost \$10 billion won in the last five years.

Dr. Malone has superior leadership skills and has often brought diverse teams together to tackle complex problems and develop innovative solutions. So put on your immunology, virology and molecular biology hat tonight and get ready to put that chin strap on pretty tight too because I have a feeling your brain may try to explode because we're going to have ourselves a good conversation with Dr. Malone.

He's the one who coined the phrase "mass formation psychosis" which seems to have been visited upon a large swath of the population, particularly if you look at their driving skills.

It was very interesting. I heard a local host in Dallas talking today about now and yeah, say it. Well, the rule is no dead air so I will fill it with a few "uhms". But that well, you know, demand for gasoline has slackened a little bit so the prices have come down a little bit.

Well, it doesn't look to me like any of the need for hydrocarbon fuel – I refuse to call it fossil fuel because it's not. The dinosaurs turned to goo and became oil and then the Arabs came and then they all bought Mercedes Benzes, right?

No, I digress. The whole thing is simply this. There's too much misleading information out there and there are too many acronyms and just too much. Anyway, judging by the amount of traffic that's on the freeways all over Dallas, Fort Worth, it doesn't look to me like the demand or the desire for hydrocarbon fuel is slackened in the least, but this is what is put out there.

Oh, yeah. Regular gasoline is still above \$3 but it's not like \$3.50 or I mean depending on if you go to some rip-off gas station. Some of them just snap it off your ribcage price-wise. They really will. But the average gas station around here is 3.29, 3.26, something point nine. I don't know. I never understood the point nine but they do. So that's what they do.

So the one story is well, gas prices have dropped because the demand has decreased. The demand hasn't decreased. Traffic is everywhere. So where do you get the truth? Where do you have to look for it? Because everywhere you look, behind every blade of grass is some kind of an attention-getting bit of information that can very easily take you off the path to the truth of these various matters.

So I really, really look forward to this conversation with Dr. Malone because he has granted us the entire, well, three-quarters of the program and this is going to be really good. I am sure that he will dispel many misconceptions and probably a few preconceptions as well, starting with the M.

I will get into that a little bit later. OK? In his Twitter bio, Dr. Robert Malone states, "I literally invented mRNA technology when I was 28." Highly repeated acronyms like mRNA can be quite mystifying and sometimes risk oversimplifying a substance, a premise or an organization. So we will avoid those like the plague. Get it? Stand by.

Now we're in the groove. The electromagnetic ions are pumping heavy. From the sun.co.uk, motorcade blast, Vladimir Putin's car attacked with bomb, an assassination attempt over Ukraine invasion, Kremlin insider claims. Katie Davis and Will Stewart wrote this one.

Today, Vladimir Putin's limousine was allegedly attacked in a possible assassination attempt as the war in Ukraine rages on. Kremlin insiders claim the Russian tyrant's car was hit by a loud bang on his left front wheel. The UK under all this Draconian lockdowns – but Putin is a tyrant, right?

Ring a ding a bit. Really? Do you really need the job that much? Well, we've got to smear Vladimir every chance we get. Yeah, yeah. Park it, will you?

Anyway, big loud bang on its left front wheel, which was followed by heavy smoke. Sources close to the leader alleged Putin's limo was driven to safety and the president was unharmed but there have been multiple arrests, I will bet, from his security service, it is claimed.

It is reported some of his bodyguards have vanished amid claims. Secret information about the 69-year-old's movements were compromised according to the general GVR telegram channel. The anti-Kremlin channel claims Putin was traveling back to his official residence in a decoy motorcade amid security fears.

The backup convoy was made up of five armored cars with Putin in the third, it is claimed. Well, I also heard from another article it was the fifth car. But anyway, it's unclear when the potential assassination attempt took place and it has not been immediately possible to verify the claim. OK, next. Let's go to this one then.

From the Mirror.co.uk, Vladimir Putin's limo attacked in assassination attempt amid Ukraine war. OK. Teensy-tiny, miniscule print. We will jack it up a couple of font a little. Yes. Yes, the limousine attack could have been an assassination attempt on Vladimir Putin according to an unconfirmed claim after a loud bang struck the left wheel of the car.

Will Stewart, Russian correspondent and Laura Sharman. So his car was attacked in what may have been an assassination attempt amid the Ukraine war according to an unconfirmed claim and the Kremlin leader, his limousine was allegedly hit by a loud bang. I've never been hit by a bang but I guess you can have them on his left front wheel followed by heavy smoke. He was unharmed. Other bodyguards have vanished. Almost identical article.

But this is a little different. Other bodyguards have vanished amid claims and secret information about his movements were compromised according to *[0:37:17] [Indiscernible]* telegram channel. In a separate post, the same source claims that Putin then ordered his glamorous lover Alina Kabaeva, 39 years old, a former Olympic gymnast.

Oh, Vlad, you've really got it going on, to have an abortion leading to a worsening of their relations. It's not immediately possible to verify either extraordinary claim, right? According to the anti-Kremlin channel, because it is the anti-Kremlin channel, Putin was traveling back to his official residence on an unspecified date and the decoy or backup motorcade amid deep security fears.

Compromised five armored cars with Putin in the third according to the claim and on the way back to the residence a few kilometers away, the first escort car was blocked by an ambulance. The driver is dead by the way and according to reports, the second escort car drove around without stopping due to the sudden obstacle and during the detour of the obstacle and Putin's car, a loud bang sounded from the left front wheel followed by heavy smoke.

OK, referred that three times now. Putin's car despite the problems with control made its way out of the attack scene to reach the safety of the residents. Quotes, an SVR general, subsequently the body of a man was found driving the ambulance, which blocked the first car from the motorcade.

The channel which boasts an inside track to the Kremlin yet provides no hard evidence for the allegations. The details of the supposed attacks are classified.

Well, I'm dubious of this already. "The head of the president's bodyguard service and several other people have been suspended and are in custody," claimed the channel, without naming anyone. A narrow circle of people knew about the movement of the president in his cortege and all of them were from the Presidential Security Service.

After the incident, three of them disappeared. These were exactly the people who were in the first car in the motorcade. Verified as currently unknown. A car on which they were traveling was found empty a few kilometers from the incident. So that's what it says. A car on which they were traveling.

In another post, the channel claimed that a Kabaeva pregnancy which it revealed in May has now been terminated. This was the reason Kabaeva rumored to have several children with the Kremlin leader who will be 70 next month had gone into hiding in recent weeks.

Ah, intrigued. Yeah, right. Well, I got to get this soon. This is a genius article by MS King from RealHistoryChan.com. You should go to RealHistoryChan.com and sign up for his – sign up and put your email address in there so you will get his daily bulletins. Almost daily, he says.

Well, this is what he says. Here's a story about a well-organized and well-funded nationwide movement of educators dedicated to training their captive kiddies in the art of detecting misinformation online. Well, it's about time that critical thinking and logic were taught to students from the article.

"Between the lessons of other revolutionary war and the functions of congress, juniors and several history and US government classes at Palmer High School and Colorado Springs are taught to defend themselves against disinformation." These students, many of them on the cusp of voting age, which by the way I think we should revoke the 18-year-old vote, particularly now.

Well, if they're old enough to fight in the war, they're old enough to vote. If you fight in the war and you're 18 years old, you can vote. Otherwise you can't. In fact I think the voting age needs to be raised to 27. That will give the males enough time to settle down and stop pointing things at their skulls, to steady up and experience that change. That occurs to the young men when they hit 27 but I digress.

Actually I just diverted but I'm back on track. Now these students on the cusp of voting age have spent up to two weeks each fall exploring how falsehoods, prejudices and opinions can lurk into many places they get information. Sounds good.

But do you guys smell a red rat here? Sugar, the late great conspiracy catch word does – let's subject this piece to a bit of critical analysis of our own, shall we? The New York Slimes, so he refers to it as slimes, students learned to trace the origins of documents to validate a website by leading it to consult other sources. Translation. In other words, when students discover an inconvenient truth online, they're made to fact check the information at a more respectable source like Reuters, AP or Yahoo.

Slimes, and to train a critical eye on the claims made by TikTok influencers and on YouTube videos. Now students, this is the translation. Do not believe anything those crazy conspiracy theorists have to say.

Slimes. “With students and adults alike, it’s just easy to look at stuff on social media and take it as it is and not question it,” said Paul Blakesley. Analysis. That’s true. There’s plenty of garbage online including some coming from our own lesser brethren on the right.

The question is, who are your trusted sources, Mr. Blakesley? Just the fact that the deceitful, duplicitous, devious, demonic paper of record is pimping you is sufficient evidence to indict you as a misinformation agent yourself. Project much?

Slimes. Children and teenagers are not the only ones susceptible to misinformation. Several studies suggest, analysis, studies suggest whenever you spot that rhetorical lead-in term, there’s no need to even finish the sentence.

It simply means that the fake journalist has dug up a bought-off fake scholar to enhance and amplify the Marxist mendacity. Slimes. But with young people spending more time online where misleading and false narratives swirl. Analysis, misleading and false narratives, eh? And what do Sulzberger slimes publish every day? Truth?

Slimes. Around the upcoming midterm elections, the COVID-19 pandemic and other topics. Analysis. The coming red wave and the deterioration of these stupid 19 lies have really got the usual suspects worried. Slimes. Educators are increasingly trying to offer protection. Analysis, that’s very noble of them, rolling eyes. The protection is actually code language as in protection of the global crime syndicate. Get it?

Slimes. Palmer High School, Mr. Blakesley tries to demonstrate how to distinguish between good information and bad. When is it appropriate to turn to Wikipedia? Analysis. Wikipedia, eh? Wiki can indeed be used as a valuable source for acquiring verifiable raw data on a subject. But on political matters, it is notoriously libtarded, perfectly suited for educators.

Slimes. Alarmed by the surge of misinformation online. Analysis, what they are really alarmed by are the successful efforts of the growing army of digital truthers. Slimes. Several educational groups representing more than 350,000 teachers created an alliance. The National Association for Media Literacy Education said its own membership had more than doubled in the past five years. Analysis, more than 350,000 communist teachers and growing are working hard to debug the digital army of truthers.

Slimes. Five states including Colorado passed language since early 2020. They required education departments to take steps such as providing literacy education. Analysis. The first amendment of the Bill of Rights generally applied to state as well as federal institutions bars governments from overtly censoring political speech. But by requiring schools to manipulatively teach selective debunking skills to curious students, the state is essentially achieving exactly that.

A little more. Slimes. Researchers at Stanford University asked 3446 high school students to evaluate content. They did not do well. In one example, a website claimed to offer facts about climate science. What was actually tied to the fossil fuel industry, it duped nearly 97 percent of the students. Analysis, there it is. Questioning the climate con means that you have been duped.

What's ironic here is that the Stanford researchers are oblivious to their own fallacious logic. Discrediting the information from a website just because it's tied to the fossil fuel industry represents a classic example of the appeal to motive fallacy.

These shameless charlatans aren't teaching critical thinking. They're stifling it. Slimes. "Such findings suggest that many students need help learning to filter the barrage of information coming their way," said Sam Wineburg, one of the researchers who leads the Stanford History Education Group.

Analysis, Wineburg, eh? These darn Norwegians are under every rock. Slimes. Other researchers have suggested teaching students to fact check online information by exploring beyond the original website. Translation. Wineburg will refer the kiddies to his buddy Goldberg's website for the fact check. That's how these Norwegians operate.

Slimes. In November, a pilot program designed with the American Federation of Teachers will be piloted in Cleveland, helping in part to train educators to teach media and information literacy. Analysis, the American Federation of Teachers is involved in this. Yikes, that's the Communist Union headed by the infamous Norwegian communist lesbian Randi Weingarten.

Slimes. The educational efforts are constantly being deployed. Twitter and Google have so-called pre-bunking initiatives to warn users about common misinformation tactics. Analysis, pre-bunking. In other words, censorship via prejudicial branding instead of overt banning. These Marxists are always thinking while we're sleeping.

Finally, slimes, a nonprofit news literacy project which said the number of students using its free checkology curriculum surged 248 percent between 2018 and 2022. Analysis, let me see what I can find out about this news literacy project and its checkology curriculum. Be right back.

OK. Back. As I suspected, NLP is loaded up with [0:47:50] [Indiscernible] personnel from the usual cast of characters. Ford Foundation, National Public Radio, Corporate America, Quackademia and some more Norwegians. The good news is this. For them to have to resort to this vast scheme proves that the digital army has got them teaching in their pink panties. They're after the children, folks. Keep your kids out of public schools, if you can, and that's Mike King. MS King, great writer, great thinker, great analyst, pulls no punches. I like him.

We're getting back on sooner than later. It's very entertaining to listen to him just tear down the myth of how glorious the future is under totalitarianism.

[Music]

I couldn't help it. I had to. How many opportunities do you have to play an appropriate classic Beatles song for an esteemed guest? Well, not very often. But I got to tell you, this is going to be an enjoyable conversation.

Now he's in a different time zone and so this is – this hour actually is by rumors that I have heard, according to rumors I have heard. He has been very gracious with his time because normally I would suspect he's up at 5:00 in the morning and probably is off the scope about this time. But he has agreed to come on and talk with us tonight and it's a real delight to speak with Dr. Robert Malone. Once again, welcome sir. Thanks for making time for us tonight. We really appreciate it.

Dr. Robert Malone: Thanks for the chance to be here and trying to get me an audience.

John Wells: There are lots of questions, lots and lots of questions. But perhaps we could just start with the – in the context of mRNA. This is the first time I've see the M capitalized. Usually it's a lowercase M.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yes, it's supposed to be lowercase.

John Wells: Now straight down on something here because I'm the last person who's going to claim to be actually knowing what he's talking about. I just pick up bits of information here and there and try and see if they fit together. But ribonucleic acid is RNA. It's a polymeric molecule essentially in various biological roles and coding and so forth.

But this is the thing that grabbed me. Ribonucleic acid and nucleic acid present in all living cells, the principal role of which is to act as a messenger carrying instructions from DNA for controlling the synthesis of proteins. Although in some viruses, RNA rather than DNA carries the genetic information.

So my question is sort of a compound question. If RNA is already the messenger, why messenger DNA rather than modified DNA – or RNA, sorry. Why is it messenger RNA if messenger is already – if RNA is already the messenger? And why the messenger RNA? That seems like a little redundancy there and many people – not many but some are saying it's actually modified RNA. So my question is if it is messenger RNA, what message is it trying to send exactly?

Dr. Robert Malone: OK. So let's kind of see to the part – you got most of it right. So it is ribonucleic acid, right? And it is a long polymer composed of four different subunits.

So a bit stream you might add for your computer is zeros and ones and RNA and DNA are composed of streams of four different chemicals that are all linked together. In the case of DNA, it's A, T, G, C. In the case of RNA, it's A, U, G, C. In various random combinations and not really random, they control, they determine in the case of messenger RNA the code for the translation.

See, I don't want to get too deep in it because I can get lost. You know, the words. There are multiple different kinds of ribonucleic acid. Ribonucleic acid is just a structure, a chemical polymer that can be put to different uses.

One of them is to be a scaffold for the machinery that actually makes the proteins. That's called ribosomal RNA because it's ribosomes. Another form of RNA is the little tiny structures that carry the amino acids. You know about amino acids. They're subunits of proteins and amino acids are linked on to a transfer RNA. So that's a tRNA. So there's ribosomal RNA. That's little rRNA and there's transfer RNA. That's little tRNA and then another thing that RNA can be used for in the cell is to transfer message and that's mRNA. So that's where that comes from. So you mostly write this and in the case of the molecules that are being injected to us that are synthesized, they have a – remember I said it's A, U, G, T, C, your cell.

So they have a modified U called “pseudouridine” and that's what they put in the RNA with this version of this technology and what it does is it makes the RNA less inflammatory. In other words, it suppresses the immune response against the RNA and it causes the RNA to last longer in the cells.

How much longer? Regular RNA only typically lasts for a couple of hours, at most a day, and messenger RNA and the highly pseudouridine modified RNA – so you got that one right too. It's a modified RNA that has pseudouridine placed instead of the regular Us, which makes it a suppressed immune response against each RNA and also makes the RNA much more stable. So it lasts of up to 60 days. It has not been tested long enough in our body.

So the whole idea that the RNA just stays around your body for a short period of time has now been shown to be false. So what you've got mixed up in your head is understandable is the little mRNA stands for messenger, not modified. But this messenger RNA that has been made synthetically and injected into us together with positive [0:54:44] [Indiscernible] is a modified messenger RNA. I suppose we should call it mmRNA. Did that make sense?

John Wells: Yes, it does actually. It really does and this is another thing that's a little annoying and that's the – if messenger RNA is carrying instruction from DNA for controlling the synthesis of proteins, if this thing was Franken-fooled around with enough over at the Wuhan lab or wherever else, could we be talking about the synthesis of the spike protein? Now if I'm off-base, I ...

Dr. Robert Malone: Absolutely. But the spike protein, so let's get into that. So we call – from a gene therapy technology perspective, the thing that is made by the RNA or the DNA or the common virus in your flavor of gene therapy technology, the thing that's made, it's called the payload and in the case of these SARS COVID-2 genetic vaccines, because that's what they are, based on gene therapy technology, I can say that because I invented it. So I carry the right to point out the impact – that's the word it came from. I was working on gene therapy tech and the payload in this case is the spike protein from the virus. OK?

So the RNA is coding for the spike protein and the fact checkers jumped on me early on when I was on the Dark Horse Podcast. It reminds me of Steve Kirsch when I said that spike is a toxin. But the data then was clear to me that it is toxic to people that follow the spike.

Now it's abundantly clear in the literature that in fact the full length spike protein is toxic. It causes all kinds of things and that's a whole another discussion to get at.

Now the criticism that is made by the fact checkers was well, this spike is different. They engineered the spike in the vaccine coded by the RNA to not be toxic. OK? That was a lie. There are two small point mutations in the S1 subunit, spike S2 subunits. It partly stays on the cell and in part because it's cut off from those three, the S2, and there are two single amino acids, point mutations in the vaccine-encoded spike, in the S1 subunit that stays attached to the cell.

They are not there to make it less toxic. They are there to make it more immunogenic and the part that gets cut off, that's expressed from the mRNA and the vaccine is identical to the part that gets cut off from the mRNA that's in the virus.

OK. So the whole fact checker narrative fell apart and where this really comes back around is that the FDA didn't do its job and forced Pfizer and Moderna to test whether or not the payload despite itself was toxic. So that's supposed to be the job of the FDA to keep pharma on the straight narrow and they just didn't do their job.

So spike is absolutely encoded by both the virus mRNA which is its genome and by the M synthetic messenger RNA. So the modified messenger RNA that's in the vaccine and the data from Stanford University published [0:58:42] [Indiscernible] this year shows that the mRNA jabs produced much more spike than you get when you have a natural infection. Good to know and it lasts for much longer. It's expressed in your body. It's circulating in your blood for a much longer time than you typically have with an infection.

So then that starts to make sense out of people seeing these problems, these adverse events that are tracked back to spike like the clots and some of the autoimmune disease and the other things. The question that many people have is why would you see more – you seem to see more toxicity with the vaccine than you see with the infection. That doesn't make any sense.

Well, it does make sense because you're making a lot more spike and spike is toxic. I hope that helped. Did that make sense?

John Wells: It does, it does quite a bit. I was very keen on speaking with you because I was thinking the man literally invented mRNA when he was 28 years old.

Dr. Robert Malone: Oh, no. Let's be clear. God invented mRNA, right?

John Wells: Right.

Dr. Robert Malone: I just happened to figure out how to make it in a test tube and put it in the cells.

John Wells: Understood and appreciated.

Dr. Robert Malone: And I was 28 but thank you for the kindness.

John Wells: Well, this is really something and I'm thinking for you to come forward like this and really I defer to you on these matters because I'm not a doctor. I have nowhere near the depth of education in this field and I never will have. I had a couple of lifetimes to do it over again. I probably have to repeat a course here and there.

But do you feel like you got pulled into a real jackpot here? Because it's my understanding based on our last conversation, you recommended against people or this vaccine, this mRNA so-called vaccine. You ...

Dr. Robert Malone: I wouldn't call it jackpot. I would call it like a [01:00:43] *[Indiscernible]* or something. This has not been a pleasant ride.

John Wells: That's what I'm thinking.

Dr. Robert Malone: And I never – my total compensation just to be clear on this, my total – boy, I got incredibly rich off of these patents. No, not so much. The terms of my employment agreement and I was employed about 19,000 a year and the terms were that anything I invented belonged to the company and the standard agreement is that if you have a patent, they're supposed to give you at least a dollar for it. They're supposed to give you something tangible for the rights which you've already found a way and so I got one *[Indiscernible]* dollar.

I didn't have any stock. I didn't get any royalties. I got part of a year's salary at 19,000 and one *[Indiscernible]*. So I had no financial dog in this fight and the patents expired long ago. They expire 30 years after you file them.

So they've been gone and I – so none of this has been about money for me. It has been about doing the right thing and kind of my sense of outrage that these fundamental rules that I have had to learn the way things are supposed to be done, that I have had to learn so painfully over decades of training and experiments just all got thrown out the window. It's destroyed. Many people might have professionally come up to me and said, "Are we ever going to recover?" We've just destroyed the legitimacy of our profession.

John Wells: I was afraid that's where we were going with this. So to me this entire initiative, I mean I just deal in the simplest terms as presented to me by way of evidence and when the medical authorities in San Diego, California made this astounding announcement that all the flu cases had suddenly disappeared in San Diego, Cali or whatever county it's called. I suppose San Diego ...

They had no flu cases. Really I wonder where they went and still I'm mystified by this huge ...

Dr. Robert Malone: The flu story, I agree. The flu story collapsed of incidents of influenza globally. Apparently certainly United States. It's fascinating because it's unexplained and many of these things, everybody else wants to come up with this [01:03:42] [Indiscernible] combination. It's all [Indiscernible] fault or it's all Donald Trump's fault or whoever. Everybody wants a simple explanation.

I think the flu story is going to turn out to be the intersection of a bunch of things and I think probably one of them is going to be – well, it's going to be multifactoral or multiple causes. But one of them is probably going to be people being misdiagnosed as having COVID when they really had flu and if that's the case, a problem with that is that probably a lot of those that had flu instead of COVID had gotten severe.

Yeah. There's a bunch of things that we – this is – I think this could end up kind of like – it's a bad metaphor. The JFK assassination. We're never going to get to the bottom of this.

John Wells: I hope you're not right but you very well may be.

Dr. Robert Malone: I spend too much time in DC. I hate to [01:04:54] [Indiscernible] but I know how it works and I don't think there's ever going to be accountability. I think that Anthony Fauci is not looking healthy lately and I think there could be a good chance that he's never really going to be put on the stand and be forced to come to terms at what he has done.

John Wells: I don't hear anything good about Dr. Fauci at all. I really don't. I wish I did and I'm not going to say something ...

Dr. Robert Malone: There's a bunch of people that still – they still worship him, you know. So I think Fauci is almost like a mirror. You know, it says often more about the person talking about it – it says about himself. I think he's a deeply corrupted person personally and I've known him. I think he has done enormous damage to the entire medical research endeavor in the United States.

People don't recognize that Fauci, the reason why he has such a big salary is he took over the entire bio defense enterprise. He's not just infectious disease. He took over all of the old Department of Defense programs, really the legacy biowarfare programs. His portfolio is not just preventing infectious disease. He is Mr. Biowarfare. He is at the top of the stack in the whole kind of military, industrial, biologic enterprise, which the more you dig, we just put on a [01:06:56] [Indiscernible] today about the investments that [Indiscernible] has made in bioengineering humans, for war fighters, the cyborg commissioners.

It's funny. It's involved in all the stuff and it has been basically at the top of the food chain ever since [Indiscernible].

John Wells: Yes. I think it's appropriate to roll those two names into this at just about this point. I really do. But this whole thing just smacks just a monstrous operation and then look, I realized a lot of these conspiracy theories have – yeah, as you well know, Dr. Malone, that phrase was invented by CIA to discredit people who didn't fall for the official narrative of the Kennedy assassination.

Dr. Robert Malone: The intelligence community sayers are all over this. Everyone is funded. They grabbed the mRNA technology out of the basket, out of the waste basket and pushed it. They're the ones that capitalized Moderna. They just – if you follow the little trails of breadcrumbs, [01:08:09] *[Indiscernible]* has just funded the build of a new mRNA vaccine manufacturing plant in Canada. *Intel [Phonetic]* being CIA and *[Indiscernible]*.

John Wells: Monstrous. In a couple of minutes here, we're going to have to take our mandatory top of the hour break but I'm so glad that you're on here. Do you have – now, it's none of my business. I'm just interested. But do you have any affiliation or association with the NIH anymore?

Dr. Robert Malone: No, and I never really did. I know that there's a couple of my detractors who just seemed to – they have the need to try to talk me down lately. There's a real push. I did sit as a non-voting member of the active group for the clinical trials working groups.

So we had nothing to do with the vaccines. The clinical trials working group was all about drugs and repurposed drugs and I was there as an observer, basically allowed to be there because my clients in the Department of Defense asked me to commit – asked our permission for me to be there.

So that I could learn from what was being done in the other trials and bring that back into the clinical trials, so they *[Indiscernible]* get going for the repurposed drugs [01:09:41] *[Indiscernible]* and Celecoxib and that ended in – my role there ended in the January of this year when they reorganized it, after I had been on Rogan and spoken about it a little bit.

John Wells: Yeah, yeah, yeah. That's what set on – that's what set off the big one and just a tip of the hat to Rogan for getting into the conversation with you because he reaches a lot of people. There's no denying that and I will tell you, you go out there, you do something good and then somebody pulls something, like whatever these *[Indiscernible]* did to the entire world virtually and cause all this misery and death of everything that goes along with it and somehow or another just made absolute quantum of cash from this.

It's really an abomination. But remember what's written in scripture folks. It's not just casual, snarky American colloquialism. It's not money. That's just a lubricant for the machine. It's the love of money that is the root of all kinds of evil. Not a few kinds. All kinds.

We will take this break and come right back.

Just like that. Oh, yeah. This is the kind of music Dr. Malone wants to hear at this hour of the night. But hey, got to keep that blood pressure off to a healthy level. Not too much though as we carry on through the evening. It's really great to be able to talk to Dr. Malone and doctor, I just want to say that as you well know, there has been a lot of talk about you.

It's not always nice talk and so you're completely at liberty, if you wish, to just pretty much say whatever you want to because – and that's why I ask about the NIH. Some people have – who used to work in the NIH like no, he's not and various other things. So if there's anything that you want to dispel that's particularly annoying, feel free to do it. That's what I'm saying.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yes. So there have been some pretty strong words said. These people acting together that to them in part it's a business model. It's a way to get clicks. They don't have that many followers and a way to sell books and Dr. Breggin I'm afraid has just somehow become obsessed with me and I don't know why, what triggered him.

I've had one of his colleagues write to me and say that throughout his history, he has had this tendency to just go off on people. They've certainly gone off on me and he seems to be on a "Hate Robert Malone" tour. Somebody just wrote to me that in one of his most recent interviews, he thinks that I should go to jail, should be prosecuted. I'm not sure for what.

He has said that I'm a mass murderer. Stew Peters has claimed that I'm a mass murderer because I invented the technology and Breggin seems to think I'm a mass murderer. I'm not sure why. It seems to be that because I spoke about the *[Indiscernible]* theories regarding the psychological basis of totalitarianism and the phrase "mass formation" or "mass psychosis" or "mass formation psychosis," it seems that Mr. Breggin, Dr. Breggin is particularly triggered by the phrase "mass formation psychosis". But it has actually been in the literature for decades. It's not something I invented.

But I can't – I'm surprised by him as a mental health professional that he would use terms like he's using loudly and just about anybody that will take him on his show and many of my colleagues, submission colleagues that have known him speculate that he's showing signs of let's say cognitive decline. I'm choosing my words. He's quite elderly.

Stew Peters and Jane Ruby, for them, I think this is – their business is drama and generating clicks and spinning up controversies. They kind of trade in the selling of rage. They – both rage and fear have been exploited by our media for decades now. It's clearly a business model. I call it fear *porn [Phonetic]* in the case of fear in – with monkeypox, we clearly saw it.

I saw it the first time I saw Jake Tapper broadcast about monkeypox and the scary images that he was showing on CNN I knew were actually smallpox because of the whole black and white and grey images. There aren't that many of them. And I was well-familiar with them because I worked on characterizing the adverse events from the smallpox vaccine for the Department of Defense back when I worked in the biodefense enterprise, a vaccine company, after the Anthrax effects. So I was really familiar with the whole smallpox story and the vaccine, so the vaccine technology and all that around that.

And so when I saw these images come up on CNN as I was in the airport with Jake Tapper broadcasting, I was like, “Oh, this is wrong because it’s clearly smallpox, not monkeypox.” And that was a clear cut case where there was a concerted effort to use fear to get us all wound up and also by the way to sell advertising for CNN. It works very good. It’s a great business model. And it works all the way down to the small guys like Stew Peters and Jane Ruby. And Jane only have, I don’t know, a hundred thousand followers, not to compare size, but that’s a fraction of the people I have communicate on a regular basis.

And so in that kind of a model, if they can attack somebody that has a higher profile than them then people will pay attention to that and it drives them to their website. It drives them to their podcast and they win. They get more money. They sell more overpriced 5 millions of air purifiers or whatever it is that they are selling. So it’s – the whole thing is a contrived drama.

And what Stew has been pushing out for quite a while is the logic that I am controlled opposition. And what he has done and he has done this with a lot of the fake response, that’s what I say it’s not a personal vendetta. I think it’s just his business model. Remember Stew’s business before was he was a bounty hunter. He is a high school graduate and that’s it. And not to put down high school graduates, but he is not trained in science. These conspiracy kind of capital famous guy that he builds by pulling together little fragments of information he doesn’t really have real-life context to put these things together. He just grabs little facts and assembles them into things and then shouts loudly and gets people all excited.

And one of the things he chose was out of my CV that I had been a member of the Active Program. And so, he looked up the Active Program and on the website, it talks about vaccines and talks about how operation works. He is part of Active and Active absolutely as managed by the foundation for NIH, which takes as a – I called for it being revoked. I’ve explicitly written about this, that it’s corrupt and that it should be revoked. But it is a channel that Congress approved that allows the Gates Foundation and big pharma to make “donations” into NIH. And it very much influences what goes at NIH.

And as I mentioned in the opening segment, I was there at the request of a colleague in the Department of Defense who I had been – I was asked at the beginning of this outbreak to mentor this gentleman by somebody who is even more senior in DOD, somebody that has the ranks, civilian rank of Brigadier General and he said, “I want you to help this younger colleague of mine during this outbreak. I’ve been to multiple outbreaks with him in the past. He and I worked closely together to bring forward the vaccine that’s now the Merck Ebola vaccine.”

And so, he wanted to mentor this younger or junior member of his staff and I did it through much of the – certainly, the first year of the outbreak. And worked with him to discover the inexpensive repurposed drugs that are effective against COVID-19, which is famotidine, celecoxib, and a combination. And we also tried to get the FDA to allow us to do trials with those and with ivermectin. The FDA would not let the DOD do ivermectin trials. Just let that sink in.

And so, they blocked us again and again. And eventually, we dropped the ivermectin. But I was there on the Active Committee to observe, occasionally comment, and mostly, to learn what was going on in NIH and clinical trials for drugs and repurposed drugs so that I could help guide this group that I was working with to incorporate any innovations and refinements on the knowledge coming out of stuff that was going on in the Active clinical trial working group which had absolutely nothing to do with vaccines. But Stew didn't bother to dig deep enough to understand any of that. He just made the assumption, Active, OK, therefore he is working on next generation vaccines and started blasting away.

Likewise, he grabbed the fact that I had worked on an Indian vaccine for a company called Reliance Vaccine, it's called RelCoVax. And he has trumpeted that somehow, this is my vaccine. I'm sorry, but I was a consultant to the team that is in the middle of development of this. Reliance is owned by one of the richest people in the world. Many people in the United States don't know who he is, Mukesh Ambani. And Ambani and Reliance in the Indian tax scheme structure have to basically tie part of their profits into a fund. And that fund is now up over a billion dollars. And Mr. Ambani absolutely wanted to create a safe and effective vaccine for COVID that could be deployed to the poor of India and emerging markets.

And so, he put his team and I served as a consultant about once a week, listening in and providing bits of wisdom or looking over papers, and that carried forward to the point where they were about to go into clinical trials. In India, the regulatory authority will not rule like the FDA did because they had bad experiences with vaccine particularly from Mr. Gates.

And so, in India, to get a vaccine approved, you actually still have to do it the old school way. And so Reliance still does not have a licensed vaccine. But that product does not have full-length spike. It is not a genetic vaccine. It is designed for the poor. I have no financial stake in it at all. I don't own it. It is not my vaccine.

And the last I worked on it was almost exactly a year ago. So it's another made-up scandal that Mr. Breggin and Mr. Peters and Ms. Ruby have gotten everybody wound up about that it's not – it's actually if anything, in both cases, in the Active Committee, I was critical of the fact that Merck tried to block the ivermectin trials that they did. I spoke about it on Rogan as I recall and they reprimanded my client, the gentleman that I was mentoring and wanted me kicked off the committee. And shortly thereafter, they reorganized the committee in January after the Rogan interview and I was not – I did not continue with that committee.

And in the case of the criticism of RelCoVax, it is – what I think about that is if there is a vaccine out there that would brought out the toxicity of spite, that's the one because it doesn't have full-length spike unlike Novavax and unlike many vaccines. And it is specifically designed to be incredibly inexpensive so that it could be made available to poor people. And for this, I'm criticized and told that I'm a mass murderer.

John Wells: Yeah, I'm sure Harari has got you on his list. Yeah. Yeah. Well, Mr. Harari, I'm pretty he has got crosser on this somewhere because he wants as many of these *[1:24:26]* *[Indiscernible]* as possible.

Dr. Robert Malone: Mr. Harari, yeah, I was going to talk [*Crosstalk*]. Mr. Harari is a very interesting case. He was the golden boy of Silicon Valley. It's not just that he was the left-hand of the new god or self-appointed god of The World Economic Forum, Schwab, but he is the darling boy of Zuckerberg and the whole Silicon Valley Club because of his initial book, *Sapiens*. And then his second book of course is called *Homo Deus* which is to say man god.

John Wells: Oh, there you go. Well, I'm pretty sure these guys are pretty short on the date department and that made them angry little – well, I mean they will do it too. And now, their testosterone levels have shrunk along with everything else and now, they have nothing but meanness left. And I got to tell you something. I don't usually allow people one way or another because we had dumb button, right? If somebody decides to drop a foxtrot bomb, we can make that go away, a really sharp engineer.

But the thing is, I've spoken with Peter Breggin many times but we never actually get around to talk about what we are going to talk about. And as far as the other group is concerned, I was out looking for cobra venom in the water. It just so happens that the city of Dallas test water 40 to 50,000 times every month so they are not looking for snake venom in the water. And at that point, I went, "OK. I think we are good here."

But to you – there's a thing at Breggin.com has really posted at America Out Loud that says, "The Desmet-Malone ideology of mass psychosis blames the citizens and not the global predators." Well, just a cursory examination of just that title and a smart aleck remark from me would go something like this, "Well, of course it's on the citizens. They are the ones who allow it. Don't you get it? They're the ones that are mesmerized by the television. They believe everything that's said to them."

Dr. Robert Malone: And then the following article that the put out fascinatingly enough, he basically took the same theory and put his own name to it. And then it's OK, which shows what this is really about is it's a little academic jealousy case.

John Wells: Isn't that something?

Dr. Robert Malone: There's a book out there about [*1:27:06*] [*Indiscernible*]. And I'm afraid that Mr. Breggin has gotten a little too wrapped up about his own self-importance and this isn't about Mr. Breggin. It's not about Matthias Desmet. It's not about Robert Malone. It's about what has happened to all of us. We are all trying to figure out the puzzle. And for somebody to get all wrapped up around the axel because I used the word psychosis, which is one of his fat claims.

Another thing he has done is he has taken a case that Matthias worked on of a – most important because he is claiming that Matthias is a mass murderer and that he has released a mass murderer. The things that he said are absolutely defamatory. I mean our lawyer will be dealing with that separately. But I want people to know what actually happened with this case that Breggin is accusing Matthias Desmet having let a mass murderer released.

John Wells: Oh, Lord!

Dr. Robert Malone: But the real story here is that before in Belgium, in Belgium now, they have a euthanasia law.

John Wells: Yes.

Dr. Robert Malone: So if you are terminally ill and you choose, they will put you to sleep just like Baruch. I'm not saying whether that's a good thing or bad thing. It's the law in Belgium. OK? And before that law passed, there was a nurse and many – it was actually fairly common practice in many hospitals in Belgium and even here in the States that when somebody was in such bad shape, they were in coma, they were in extreme pain, they were clearly going to die, there are things that you can do to slip them off quietly, and one of them is to give an overdose of insulin.

And this nurse in a hospital setting with terminally ill patient would give an overdose of insulin. And so technically, that's the nurse who killed multiple patients. And then the law passed about euthanasia and the nurse never did it again because they didn't need to. Those patients weren't asking their family, they weren't asking to be put down. There was a law that allowed that to happen in those cases.

So the nurse stopped doing it. And then years later, came to Mattias Desmet who does clinical practice in addition to being an academic and publish books. And the nurse had this burden of conscience and feeling bad about himself. He had a mental crisis over his guilt, guilty conscience for what he did. And he came to Mattias for counseling.

And Mattias consulted with his colleagues, other psychiatrists and a decision was made that the law in Belgium was that if the person was unlikely to do another murder, be that this is a behavior they did in the past, so if there is a determination that the person was unlikely to murder again, then it was the responsibility of the clinical practicing psychologist or psychiatrist to not report them, if this was like with your lawyer. This is the patient/physician interface. If a decision was made that it was reasonable that this person might kill again then there's an obligation to report.

So the decision with Matthias consulting with his peers didn't meet that criteria. They treated the patient with their problems. And there was actually a hearing about this and it wasn't hearing about Matthias. It was about the patient afterwards. And there was no legal action or reprimand or anything for Matthias for anything he did. But somehow – and the person never killed again or any of that kind of stuff.

And yet, Breggin has latched on to this and said that Desmet made a – released a statement that I find amazing. He said that he has released a mass murderer and not told anybody of it. And it's just a pure lie.

John Wells: That he has released what and not told anybody?

Dr. Robert Malone: A mass murderer.

John Wells: OK. Got you. Yeah, I couldn't read you well. Sorry about that.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yeah, this nurse that had administered it. That's the basis for Breggin's big America Out Loud article.

John Wells: OK. Well, you know that is why we are here and I'm delighted you came on the program and just sort of get an opportunity to address some of these concerns that people have, and that's what we do. Look, I don't really care how it comes on. Sometimes when you think you're doing your best work, people out there are going, "Well, this is terrible." And anybody who happens to be observing and sometimes when you think you're doing your worst, you're actually – people are out going, "Wow! Behold the genius."

So you just do what you do and let the chips fall where they may and you do it with a good spirit and you do it with good intentions. You pray for guidance and let it rip. That's the only way to roll on this planet. Just do your best and let the spirit, the Holy Spirit, guide you. And I'm serious about this. I really am.

All right. We are going to take our mandatory bodily hour break. We will be right back.

Now, as far as musical augmentation, that's a little nonsecretor but it definitely got your attention. I think if I were to form a band that sounded like that, I would call it *[1:33:16]* *[Indiscernible]* Mustache. Have you ever seen a more hideous mustache in your life? That's why he wasn't good with the girls. I wish I could have been there for him. We were just discussing this while the commercial are going by. It would have been good to be able to be there for Friedrich and go, "Listen, pal. A beard and mustache are holding you back. It is hideous looks like a space alien jumped on your face. Get rid of it."

All right. Enough levity. Back to the seriousness of the moment as we are carry on for a final segment unfortunately. I wish we have a couple of hours. Dr. Malone probably doesn't wish we had a couple more hours but I'd sure take him. It's a privilege and a pleasure to be able to speak with you. Would you take something off the table for me once and for all perhaps, doctor? And it's just this. Ivermectin implied that ...

Dr. Robert Malone: OK. Are you ready?

John Wells: Yeah.

Dr. Robert Malone: I am actually a deep state double operative and I just happen to be the worst one ever in the history of the United States. OK. There. It's done.

John Wells: OK. Well, in that case, I can confess to you that I am a Nazi, Zionist, a fool, and a Jew, depending on what part of what sentence someone thinks they heard me say. OK? So we're even.

Dr. Robert Malone: That's perfect. I'm glad we got that sorted out.

John Wells: Well, it's just me and Dr. Malone sitting around in the middle of the night sorting stuff out. Would you please take this off the table? Ivermectin, that's nothing but a horse wormer and no, no, don't take hard drugs or chloroquine. No, no, don't do that. Both of these medications are antiparasitic. Is there or has there ever been a parasite component to this bloody COVID-19 mess?

Dr. Robert Malone: So that's kind of fascinating. Let's wheel that back a little bit to the 1918 H1N1 influenza outbreak that was so lethal. And it's clear that in that case, there was a co-infection of a bacteria together with the virus that caused a lot of that mortality. So I say the story to make a point that many infectious disease outbreaks involve more than one pathogen.

In this case, I'm not aware of – not that humans don't have parasites anyhow and that there might not be some interactions but I'm not aware of that as a cause. It is – but I live in a world in which almost anything is possible and you have to be open-minded because it's the surprise thing that you didn't expect that are often the most interesting one. So there is this odd observation that some of the lowest mortality in the world is in Haiti and in the Central African Malaria Belt.

Now, it turns out that the parasitic load in some of these emerging economies can be quite high, worms and other things. And that can shift the way that your immune responds, generates against new infections and re-infections. It's probably one of the major factors in that very low mortality rate in Haiti and through the Central African Malaria Belt is that they all take hydroxychloroquine all the time.

So I don't think in this case, I'm not aware of a parasite co-factor but the taking of certain antiparasitic medicines which have also been shown to have antiviral activities chronically because of trying to protect against the parasites, worms or malaria in particular, does seem to have played – it's recently likely that that has played a role in the very low mortality rate that's observed in these areas. So that's what I can say about that is I'm not aware of a bacterial or a parasitic co-factor in COVID-19. That doesn't mean one doesn't exist. And something like that has been the case in other infectious disease outbreaks, pandemics historically.

But in this case, I think that ivermectin and hydroxyl both have bona fide antiviral activity. Along those lines, in the prior outbreak called Zika, when I was also working closely with the Department of Defense in *[1:38:12] [Indiscernible]* to try to discover repurposed drugs, one of the ones that we found that had activity against Zika virus and also against many other viruses was hydroxychloroquine and the other drugs relating to hydroxychloroquine. And one of the reasons we were excited about that for Zika, it turned out Zika never manifested such a big

problem was because hydroxychloroquine is safe in pregnancy generally if done at the right level.

So in some, I think that the hydroxyzine and ivermectin stories, the data are pretty clear, they have multiple modes of action, particularly ivermectin. And despite all the bad press, it was intentionally propagated. There is abundant evidence that they have benefit. And I personally had long COVID from my infection in February of 2020. And as soon as I started taking ivermectin, I suddenly got my strength back. I got my stamina back. It was for me personally, after months of suffering, within two days of starting to take ivermectin, I felt better than I had felt for months. Maybe that was just pure coincidence. But I don't think so.

John Wells: No, it wasn't. And it wasn't in my case either. As a matter of fact, I take it every few weeks, just a little shot, the recommended dosage for 75 pounds or whatever it is.

Dr. Robert Malone: I don't know – a paper out of Brazil from my friend Flavio and his team about chronic prophylactic ivermectin is preventative for COVID-19 disease. But the data is pretty solid. And Flavio was – had all kinds of attacks and efforts to literally bugged his house, all kinds of stuff he had to endure. But the data got done. The paper got published and it's very clear that ivermectin as Bret Weinstein had long ago said, ivermectin is effective as prophylactic for this virus.

John Wells: Speaking of prophylactic, do I understand this correctly that the vaccine, whichever one it is, J&J or Moderna or Pfizer, whatever, has actually been administered to people after they were sick with COVID? Does that make any sense and is that true or is that just some twisted bit of data because I thought the vaccine was a prophylactic acid.

Dr. Robert Malone: Well, for a long time, they were recommending that even if you've been infected, you should still take the jab.

John Wells: Of course you should.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yeah, because they were saying that natural immunity didn't work. Of course, anybody that has been in infectious disease business knows that that's rubbish. But that was the official party line back then and if you said otherwise, the New York Times and the Washington Post and the Atlantic Monthly and Rolling Stone and Twitter and Facebook and everything else would come down your head like a ton of bricks.

Now, it's officially recognized that even by the CDC that natural infection and what we call natural immunity is at least as good, if not better. And the data suggest that it's better, then the jab. And by the way, you don't have to keep getting natural infected every three months.

John Wells: I understand you took the Moderna vaccine and so in other words ...

Dr. Robert Malone: That's true.

John Wells: I don't want to be indelicate here but why would you do that? Why did you do that?

Dr. Robert Malone: Yeah. And that's another thing that people keep coming back even though I have probably spoken about this on a hundred podcasts.

John Wells: I bet.

Dr. Robert Malone: I had long COVID at the time which was no fun at all. It could be *[1:42:35] [Indiscernible]*. And there was a lot of talk. This was very early on. When the vaccine first became available, it's in the press, a lot of speculation that if you take the jab that it would help with your long COVID. And I knew that I had to be speaking in Europe in an upcoming months and it would be impossible for me to get into Europe unless I took the jab.

So I had two reasons. One was I knew I needed to travel international and good think I did because I've had great impact I think globally with my travels. It would have been much, much harder if I had been infected. And there was talk about it helping with long COVID. It absolutely did not help my long COVID. If anything, it made it worst. And with the second jab, I happened to get one of the bad batches of Moderna and I developed high blood pressure, hypertension.

John Wells: Oh no!

Dr. Robert Malone: I almost blew my brain out. And I don't mean with a gun. I mean with high blood pressure. And I had narcolepsy and restless leg syndrome and tinnitus and a lot of the other things that we now know we didn't get back then or adverse events.

Another thing that's important in the story that people don't remember back then, I had actually had a private meeting with Peter Marks who is the Head of CBER for all of this, for vaccine. He is the front man. He is the head of this group that approves these vaccines. And I met with him because of the Japanese common technical document that had been uncovered by Byram Bridle that I read and I found a number of things in there that were wrong, irregularities, and what I call misunderstandings probably due to my undertake at the FDA because it is about technology.

And so I arranged to speak with the Head of the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research that my colleague scheduled with them. And I said, "Peter, I have these concerns about this." And he said, "Well, Robert, I have now the full dossier of the data that you didn't see and I have no concerns based on what I have seen. There's nothing there. That was old data. Don't worry about it. Don't raise ..." He actually asked me to not make a big issue about this because he knew at the time I had half a million Twitter followers so he asked me not to make a big issue out of it and to give him time to do their job there at CBER because they now had all this additional data.

Now, we now know what those data are because they had been forced to be released by the Courts and we see all kinds of irregularities in there and basically Peter lied to me. But at the time I took the jab, I've had a personal assurance from the Head of CBER that everything was OK.

John Wells: That is amazing. You know, this conversation would not be complete and it would take many more hours for it to actually be complete and even then I doubt if it would be. But what were you looking for or I guess, why did you wind up being ...

Dr. Robert Malone: The discovery, yeah.

John Wells: Yeah, of mRNA. What were you looking for?

Dr. Robert Malone: What was happening, so I'm working – just put yourself – I'm 28, really ambitious, in the middle of an MB/PhD program, I had background in retroviruses, worked in the lab that first discovered a retrovirus with a primate, non-human primate immune deficiency syndrome. This was before they discovered the AIDS virus. So that was my background.

And the thing that people were using retroviruses for was gene therapy. And as a young up-and-coming MB/PhD student, I thought that my career was going to be in a world in which gene therapy was common that we could cure pediatric genetic disease, children that are born with cystic fibrosis, muscular dystrophy, all these other syndromes could be cured with genetic therapy. And I wanted to do that. I thought it was going to be a cool thing to do with my background in retroviruses.

And so, I went to the best retrovirus gene therapy laboratory in the world which was on the Cliffs of La Jolla at the Salk Institute under *[1:47:36] [Indiscernible]* in the Molecular, Biology, and Virology Labs. At the time, there was a half dozen Nobel laureates there. Francis Crick was there, Leonardo del Vecchio was there, David Baltimore had trained there, Susumu Tonegawa, one of the most famous immunologists had trained there, right across the hall from where I was working.

But I was in a great big post doc lab, about 19 different people who already had their PhDs, very, very competitive. So I had to find something to do that they weren't just going to stomp all over me. So I was working on how to package RNA into retroviruses because that was one of the problems with making large quantities of retrovirus vectors to become retroviruses for gene therapy.

And in order to do that and ask some fundamental questions, I had to find a way to make large quantities of RNA and build it in such a way that it would be turned into protein and figure out a way to slip it into cells. And it turned out that all of those things were hard problems to solve. I never got to fixing – to solving the problem that I started off trying to solve. But in developing the technology that I asked the questions, I ended up inadvertently developing the technology that gives us what we now have, for better or worse, all of this *[1:49:10] [Indiscernible]* added to it since then. The use of pseudouridine like we just talked about that was the Kariko and Weissman add on.

And then they positively charged, that's what I was using. The ones that are being used right now have a slightly different chemical structure. But that's how it came to be is I was just asking

questions, fundamental questions about how RNA gets assembled into a retrovirus. In order to do that, I had to build a whole group of technologies.

And while I was there in gene therapy lab, the guy that worked next to me who was kind of mentoring me, the post doc named *Dan St. Louis [1:49:53] [Phonetic]*, he lives in San Diego, had an experiment wherein he put genes into mice expressing the protein and the mice stopped producing the protein after a while, after about three weeks. And everybody came up with sophisticated ideas about what was causing that and I thought it was because the mice were making immune response against the protein. It turned out I was right. It was the *[1:50:20] [Indiscernible]*.

And once that – at the time that was *not received [Phonetic]* because it meant the whole idea of gene therapy wasn't going to work because people's immune systems don't care if it's the good gene or the bad gene. It doesn't care if it's a different gene. They can endure the bad gene and then they will protect that protein.

John Wells: Yeah.

Dr. Robert Malone: And so, it's the two things that came together. It's the making lemonade out of lemon. It's the realization that I could make the RNA, engineer it so it could be efficiently turned into proteins, figure out a way to slip it into cells, tested that in embryos, it worked, and then eventually in mice and it worked in the mice. And the realization that the best thing that could be done with that, the easy thing, they call it the low-hanging fruit in the venture capital world, is to use the tech to make an immune response to make a vaccine because it wasn't good enough to cure genetic disease in children. And that's how this came to be.

John Wells: I see. It's amazing. I questioned. I said, why would Dr. Malone say mass formation psychosis? Why not just mass psychosis? And then I thought just I mean as recently as within the last 48 hours ...

Dr. Robert Malone: Mass psychosis is one that formed.

John Wells: Well, the formation is *[1:51:47] [Crosstalk]*

Dr. Robert Malone: ... the mass formation.

John Wells: Yeah.

Dr. Robert Malone: Mass formation is another one of the terms. And it's kind of a more of a European term. What it means is the formation of crowds or masses.

John Wells: Yeah, spontaneously practically.

Dr. Robert Malone: Yeah. It's an odd thing of this hypnosis, the kind of atoms. And we've talked about *people [1:52:10] [Phonetic]* and all the stereotypes about people that just seemed to

be hypnotized. And in fact, they kind of are. And they can be hypnotized by our media and by politicians.

This is where Mr. Breggin gets – frankly, he is a little confused because he doesn't really understand – I think Mr. Breggin thinks he is smarter than he really is and I don't think he really understands Mattias very well. Mattias Desmet's point and it's not – he didn't come up with this. This is [1:52:50] *[Indiscernible]* and a bunch of heavy hitter 20th century intellectuals that talked a lot about how did the Soviet Union and particularly the German people just go barking mad. How did that happen? And they investigated.

And Mattias has just basically taken their findings and adopted them into the 21st century and in the latter part of the 20th century where media has gotten more and more and more powerful. But a lot of the principles that are used now in modern media and in marketing were used and explicitly written by Goebbels.

And if you want an example about the impact of German propaganda, let me [1:53:42] *[Indiscernible]* images but not in Germany. They looked an awful lot like the images of Joseph Biden in front of the Independence Hall.

John Wells: Yeah. They never went away. When I was in Stephenville, Texas, a real hot rod from like when we were little kids hold up and it had little Swas Kas all over it. There was a letter on the side of it. Maybe it was a joke and maybe it wasn't. It said Prescott Bush Racing Club.

Dr. Robert Malone: Wow!

John Wells: And I thought, "That's interesting. Wasn't he known as the Nazi Banker? Wasn't he rewarded by the globalist with two of his ..."

Dr. Robert Malone: Yeah. Yeah. Now, you're touching on some sensitive deep stuff there.

John Wells: I know. I know. But wouldn't it be boring if we never did? I admire you very much for coming on the program and being so candid with us because a lot of people – you impressed a lot of people and of course when you impressed a lot of people, here comes the smut. So thank you for coming on tonight and being so forthcoming with us. I thoroughly enjoyed our conversation. And I hope that if something changes like maybe some way to reverse some of the damage that has been done to people through this wide deployment especially something like that or anything you want to talk about, you're welcome to return any time you wish, sir. Thank you very much.

Dr. Robert Malone: Thank you for your time and for the opportunity.

John Wells: Any time. Remember ladies and gentlemen, in the words of *Catherine McGowan* [1:55:12] *[Phonetic]*, I'm just reminding you, you are not a number, you are person. And you are not an animal, you are a child of God.

[End of transcript]