see paged

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT BRISTOL COUNTY DIVISION

RE: Michelle Carter

Docket # 1576000 NE

MOTION

Now comes the Communal hin the above captioned
matter and hereby moves this Honorable Court, to all current and
ex-parte hearing on the Commonwalth's
reguest for a temporary order to
While to Peter Briggis. Commonwalt
males this en-parte reguest belowse
defense cawal cannot be present and
in the abserve of the Reguestral Relief,
Broggin can make further disclosures
Respectfully submitted,
Date: 8/10/17
BBO# 6 40 C+9.
This motion is hereby ALLOWED-DENIED.
8/10/2017 The 1/1
DATE Justice of Juvenile Court
,

of materials, as cleocabed in the Commonwealth's motion of August 9,2017. The Commonwalth's requests this count more Retor Bregsin to cease any publication, desciption of any information he received in the course of this cose until furner rotice of the Cons.



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

OFFICE OF THE

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

BRISTOL DISTRICT

THOMAS M. QUINN III
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Fall River Justice Center 186 S. Main St. Fall River, MA 02721 (774) 627-1600

August 11, 2017

Peter R. Breggin, MD 101 East State Street, #112 Ithaca, NY 14850

Commonwealth v. Michelle Carter 15YO0001NE

Dear Doctor Breggin:

Enclosed, please find the Order on Commonwealth's Motion for Return of all Materials Provided to Defendant's Witness Peter Breggin. These matters are scheduled for further hearing on August 21, 2017 at the Taunton Juvenile Court.

Very Truly Yours,

Katie Rayburn Assistant District Attorney

KCR/mab Enclosures COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS VENILE COURT
THE TRIAL COURT
JUVENILE COURT DEPARTMENT 2017 AUG 1 1 PM 2: 50
TAUNTON SESSION

DOCKET# 15YO0001NE

FILED

COMMONWEALTH

VS.

MICHELLE CARTER

ORDER ON COMMONWEALTH'S MOTION FOR RETURN OF ALL MATERIALS PROVIDED TO DEFENDANT'S WITNESS PETER BREGGIN

On August 9, 2017, the Commonwealth filed a motion as described above, seeking return of all materials provided to the defendant's expert, Dr. Peter Breggin, restriction of use of information garnered or developed by him during his work on this case, and execution of a document under oath by him that he had in fact returned all copies of such information. ADA Rayburn provided electronic notice to defendant's counsel of the motion and her intent to seek relief from the court at a hearing on August 10, 2017. ADA Rayburn represented to the court on August 10, 2017 that Mr. Cataldo, one of the defendant's counsel, was on trial in another court and that the defendant's other counsel Mr. Madera, was on vacation. That information was independently confirmed by a call from Mr. Cataldo to the clerk of this court. ADA Rayburn then filed, on August 10, 2017, a supplemental motion asking that the court consider her motion ex parte, given what she represented was the exigency of the situation.

Although this is a criminal matter, the court took direction from Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 65, with respect to temporary restraining orders. To that end, Ms. Rayburn indicated her willingness to endorse her pleading in the nature of the verified complaint/affidavit, and did so.

Prior orders, including protective orders, had issued relative to access to privileged records of both the victim, Mr. Conrad Roy III, and defendant, Michelle Carter, which orders remained in effect and the court had further ordered, without objection, orders of impoundment as to certain records, primarily those involving mental health treatment of each and both of these individuals. All such orders remain in full force and effect at this time.

By virtue of the following order, this court seeks to enforce existing orders on which everyone had the opportunity to be heard and to prevent any irreparable harm that might result from the posting of any records that have already been impounded by this court until such time as counsel for the defendant can be heard and Dr. Peter Breggin given notice of this motion.

Consequently, this court now **ORDERS**:

1. Attorney Cataldo, in his capacity as the Counsel before this court who engaged Dr.